|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Scope Change Request** | | | | |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Project Name:** | |  |  | DrDentAssist | |  |  |  |
| **Change Request Reference No:** | | | | 1 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Date of Request:** | |  |  | 24-Jun-17 |  |  |  |  |
| **Request Prepared by:** | | |  | Reimarie Princess Quirante | | |  |  |
| **Request Authorized by:** | | |  | Wealthea Ingeniero | |  |  |  |
| **Request Authorization Date:** | | |  | 1-Jul-17 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | **Description of Requested Change** | | | |  |  |  |  |
| **Change Request** | |  |  | **Logical Explanation for Change** | | |  | **Priority** |
| **Patient records will not be available online for customers** | | | | In accordance with data privacy act | | |  | <High> |
| **Payment will also not be included in the design** | | | | Cash transactions onsite are preferred | | | | <Medium> |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | **Expected Problems if Change is not Made** | | | | |  |  |  |
| Problem 1: | Violation of Data Privacy Act | | |  |  |  |  |  |
| Problem 2: | Clinic only accepts cash transactions | | | |  |  |  |  |
| Problem 3: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Problem 4: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | **Proposed Solutions for Change** | | | |  |  |  |  |
| Solution 1 | Patient records can be done internally for doctor's use only and will be treated as a separate system, not covered in this project | | | | | | | |
| Solution 2 | Website's sole purpose is for booking appointments and getting confirmation. | | | | | | |  |
| Solution 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Solution 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | **Impact Of Change** | |  |  |  |  |  |
| Impact on Overall Objective of the Project: | | | |  |  |  |  |  |
| The Project has been given a clear scope that can be done within the boundary of the schedule and in accordance with data provacy laws. | | | | | | | | |
| Change in Project Timeline: | | |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The Project Timeline has not changed but now has a clear path to where it should lead to. | | | | | | | |  |
| Change in Deliverables: | | |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Whereas, customers may be thrilled to actually get to see their records, it would be wise to advise client that such records may pose security thrats and would rather not be avaialable online. | | | | | | | | |
| Change in Technical Requirements: | | | |  |  |  |  |  |
| With the system trimmed to 1 function/purpose, development will be focused on this area, tyhus, providing better quality. | | | | | | | | |
| Change in Financial Budget: | | |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No change in budget | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Change in Project Risk: | | |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project risks are now lower with focused obective and well-defined structure. | | | | | | |  |  |
| Additional Recommendations from the Project Team: | | | | |  |  |  |  |
| N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |